

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

IN RE AUTOMOTIVE PARTS ANTITRUST LITIGATION	:	Master File No. 12-md-02311
	:	Honorable Sean F. Cox
	:	
IN RE: OXYGEN SENSORS	:	
	:	
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS	:	2:15-cv-03101-SFC-RSW
	:	2:15-cv-12918-SFC-RSW
	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, upon consideration of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Motion for an Award of Attorneys’ Fees, Litigation Costs and Expenses, and a Service Award to the Class Representatives (the “Motion”), the Memorandum in support thereof, and the declarations and exhibits attached thereto, and following a hearing on the Motion, it is hereby ORDERED that the Motion is GRANTED:

1. The Court has considered the relevant case law and authority and finds that awards of attorneys’ fees and reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses to the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs and their counsel are appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(d)(2).

2. The Court engages in a two-part analysis when assessing the reasonableness of a petition seeking an award of attorneys’ fees. *In re Cardinal Health Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 528 F. Supp. 2d 752, 760 (S.D. Ohio 2007). The Court first determines the method of calculating the attorneys’ fees: it applies either the percentage of the fund approach or the lodestar method. *Id.*; *Van Horn v. Nationwide Prop. and Cas. Inc. Co.*, 436 Fed. Appx. 496, 498 (6th Cir. 2011).

3. The Court has the discretion to select the appropriate method for calculating attorneys’ fees “in light of the unique characteristics of class actions in general, and of the unique

circumstances of the actual cases before them.” *Rawlings v. Prudential-Bache Properties, Inc.*, 9 F.3d 513, 516 (6th Cir. 1993). In common fund cases, the award of attorneys’ fees need only “be reasonable under the circumstances.” *Id.*, 9 F.3d at 516. The Court has also analyzed and weighed the six factors described in *Ramey v. Cincinnati Enquirer, Inc.*, 508 F.2d 1188 (6th Cir. 1974).

4. The Court will award fees to Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs’ counsel using the percentage-of-the fund approach. This method of awarding attorneys’ fees is preferred in this district because it eliminates disputes about the reasonableness of rates and hours, conserves judicial resources, and aligns the interests of class counsel and the class members. *Rawlings*, 9 F.3d at 515; *Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*, No. 10-cv-14360, 2015 WL 1498888, at *15 (E.D. Mich. Mar. 31, 2015); *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litig.*, 08-MDL-01952, 2011 WL 6209188, at *16 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 13, 2011); *In re Delphi Corp. Sec. Derivative & ERISA Litig.*, 248 F.R.D. 483, 502 (E.D. Mich. 2008); *Cardinal*, 528 F. Supp. 2d at 762 (the Sixth Circuit has “explicitly approved the percentage approach in common fund cases.”); *In re Skelaxin (Metaxalone) Antitrust Litig.*, 2014 WL 2946459 *1 (E.D. Tenn. Jun. 30, 2014). The total amount of the settlement funds before the Court is \$700,000.00.

5. The requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h)(1) have been satisfied. Notice of the relief requested in the motion before the Court was mailed to 1,100 potential settlement class members. In addition, a summary notice was published in *Automotive News* and an Informational Press Release was issued nationwide via PR Newswire’s “Auto Wire,” which targets auto industry trade publications. Finally, the Notice was (and remains) posted online on <https://www.AutoPartsAntitrustLitigation.com/OxygenSensors>, the website dedicated to this litigation. There were no objections.

6. The Court grants the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' request for reimbursement of litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$21,905.07.

7. Counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs request a fee award of 33% of the settlement funds. The 33% fee requested is within the range of fee awards made by courts in this Circuit. *In re Prandin Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, 2015 WL 1396473 (E.D. Mich. Jan. 20, 2015) (awarding one-third of the fund); *In re Southeastern Milk Antitrust Litig.*, 2013 WL 2155387, at *8 (E.D. Tenn. May 17, 2013); *Skelaxin*, 2014 WL 2946459, at *1; *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litig.*, 2011 WL 6209188, at *19; *Thacker v. Chesapeake Appalachia, L.L.C.*, 695 F. Supp. 2d 521, 528 (E.D. Ky. 2010); *Bessey v. Packer and Plainwell, Inc.*, No. 4:06-CV-95, 2007 WL 3173972, *4 (W.D. Mich. 2007); *Delphi*, 248 F.R.D. at 502-03; *In re National Century Financial Enterprises, Inc. Investment Litig.*, 2009 WL 1473975 (S.D. Ohio May 27, 2009); *Kogan v. AIMCO Fox Chase, L.P.*, 193 F.R.D. 496, 503 (E.D. Mich. 2000).

8. The Court has considered the six *Ramey* factors in weighing a fee award to counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs: (1) the value of the benefits rendered to the class; (2) society's stake in rewarding attorneys who produce such benefits in order to maintain an incentive to others; (3) whether the services were undertaken on a contingent fee basis; (4) the value of the services on an hourly basis – the lodestar cross-check; (5) the complexity of the litigation; and (6) the professional skill and standing of counsel on both sides. 508 F.2d at 1194-97.

9. The settlement funds total \$700,000.00. The settlements in the Direct Purchaser Oxygen Sensors case confer a substantial benefit on the members of the Settlement Classes, and the value of the settlements are immediate and readily quantifiable.

10. Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel vigorously and effectively pursued the direct purchasers' claims. These efforts included factual investigation; drafting the initial complaint;

working with the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs to identify relevant business records; participating in proffer and/or cooperation meetings with Defendants' counsel; negotiating the terms of the settlements and preparing the settlement documents; drafting the settlement notices, orders, and the preliminary and final approval motions and memoranda in support; and working with the claims administrator to design and disseminate the class notices and a claim form, and to create and maintain a settlement website.

11. The settlement amounts constitute a "common fund." It has long been recognized that attorneys who recover a common fund for others are entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees from that fund.

12. The results achieved provide a clear benefit to the Settlement Classes: an immediate and certain payment of \$700,000.00 plus accrued interest, less attorneys' fees, litigation costs and expenses, service awards to the class representatives, and notice and claims administration costs.

13. Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel are operating on a contingency basis and bore a significant risk of non-payment in pursuing these claims.

14. Antitrust actions are inherently complex. The legal and factual issues are complicated and highly uncertain in outcome. This case is no exception.

15. In the absence of these settlements, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs would have been facing continued litigation against the Defendants.

16. As the Court has previously found, Interim Lead and Liaison Counsel are qualified to litigate class action antitrust claims, and they have performed their duties skillfully.

17. The reaction of the class members was favorable. The Settlement Classes are composed of sophisticated direct purchasers of Oxygen Sensors, including parts suppliers, and

manufacturers of motor vehicles. The absence of objections is an indication that an attorneys' fee of 33% is reasonable.

18. From September 1, 2015 through May 31, 2022, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel devoted \$1,209,663.75 in professional time at their historical billing rates to pursuing this case for the direct purchasers.

19. The Court finds that Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel performed their tasks diligently, efficiently, and reasonably, and that their billing rates and lodestar are appropriate.

20. The Court conducted a lodestar "cross-check" with respect to the attorneys' fee award and determined that the fee requested represents a multiplier of 0.19 on Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel's lodestar.

21. After considering the appropriate factors, the Court finds that attorneys' fees of 33% are reasonable and awards a fee of \$231,000.00, which is 33% of the \$700,000.00 in settlement funds.

22. The Court finds that each class representative is deserving of a service award for the time and effort it expended and the burden and inconvenience it incurred in furtherance of the case, and hereby awards each direct purchaser plaintiff class representative All European Auto Supply, Inc., Irving Levine Automotive Distributors, Inc., KMB/CT, Inc. d/b/a KMB Warehouse Distributors Inc., and Hopkins Auto Supply, Inc. d/b/a Thrifty Auto Supply a service award of \$7,500.00.

23. Interim Lead Counsel are authorized to allocate among Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' Counsel the attorneys' fees and reimbursed litigation costs and expenses in accordance with each firm's contribution to the prosecution of the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 22, 2022

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox

U. S. District Judge